

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/820,546	03/29/2001		Frederik Albert Buijtenhuijs	570-13 CON (AFP 2318)	4200
7	7590	11/21/2002			
Paul J. Farrel Dilworth & Ba		r n	EXAMINER CINTINS, IVARS C		
333 Earle Ovir					
Uniondale, NY	11553			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1724	10
				DATE MAILED: 11/21/2002	/6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(//

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/820,546

Buijtenhuijs et al.

Examiner

**Ivars Cintins** 

Art Unit 1724

		Ш

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the						
mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within t	he statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely					
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.					
<ul> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause t</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of</li> </ul>						
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Sep 11, 2</u>	2002 .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This ac	tion is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-13</u>	is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.					
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-13</u>	is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.					
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:						
1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1724

Prosecution before the Primary Examiner is hereby reopened, and the Final Rejection dated April 8, 2002 is withdrawn.

The rejections based on Fickel et al., Soehngen, Tymstra et al., and Larson et al. have been withdrawn because it is agreed that Tymstra et al. fails to suggest a regeneration step which does not result in separation of the hydrophobic substance from a granular or powdery material, as required by step b of claim 1.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification fails to describe regenerating the treatment material such that the hydrophobic substance is not freed therefrom, as recited in step b of claim 1; and therefore, this specification fails to enable one skilled in the art to use the invention.

The disclosure is objected to because it improperly refers to this application as a continuation of Application Serial No.

Art Unit: 1724

08/381,828. Applicant should note that in order to qualify as a continuation, the disclosure presented in the second application must be the same as that of the original application (see M.P.E.P. § 201.07). Since regenerating the treatment material such that the hydrophobic substance is not freed therefrom is not present in the disclosure of Application Serial No. 08/381,828, the instant application cannot be a proper "continuation" of the prior application.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 94/03249. WO 94/03249 discloses the claimed invention with the exception of regenerating the treatment material such that the hydrophobic substance is not freed therefrom. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to regenerate the granular or powdery treatment material of the reference in this manner, in order to eliminate the need for

Art Unit: 1724

reintroducing the hydrophobic substance into the granular or powdery material after each regeneration, thereby minimizing operating costs.

Applicant should note that since the concept of regenerating the treatment material such that the hydrophobic substance is not freed therefrom was first presented in the abstract and claims of this application, claims 1-13 are only entitled to the benefit of the filing date of this application (i.e. March 29, 2001); and therefore, WO 94/03249, having a publication date of February 17, 1994, is deemed to be available as prior art against these claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to I. Cintins whose telephone number is (703) 308-3840. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. David Simmons, can be reached at (703) 308-1972.

The fax phone numbers for this art unit are: (703) 872-9311 for "Official" faxes after Final Rejection; (703) 872-9310 for all other "Official" faxes; and (703) 872-9492 for "Draft" and other "Unofficial" faxes.

Art Unit: 1724

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Ivars C. Cintins
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1724

I. Cintins November 17, 2002